

09/187,284



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*SK*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/187,284    11/05/98    LYNN    P    PLAT1005MCF/

LMC1/0504

MARTIN C FLIESLER  
FLIESLER DUBB MEYER AND LOVEJOY  
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-4156

EXAMINER

GOOD JOHNSON, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2779

*4*

DATE MAILED: 05/04/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/187,284

Applicant(s)

Lynn et al.

Examiner

Motilewa Good-Johnson

Group Art Unit

2779



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Motilewa Good-Johnson

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John Griffith

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Apr 27, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Carey et al. 5,977,978, "Interactive Authoring of 3D Scenes and Movies".

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Claim 1 "intelligent content" in application is not set up in predefined stages as in Carey, but is set up as a component interface without the need of predefined stages for each individual 3D content.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Marked*  
MARK R. POWELL  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
GROUP 2700

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.